28

2.1

- 1. I am a member of the bar of the State of California and a partner with Morrison & Foerster LLP, counsel of record for Defendants Uber Technologies, Inc. and Ottomotto LLC in this action. I am admitted to practice before this Court. I submit this declaration in support of Defendants Uber Technologies, Inc. and Ottomotto LLC's Response to Waymo's Offer of Proof. I have personal knowledge of the facts stated herein and, if called as a witness, I could and would testify competently as to these facts.

 2. When this Court issued its Notice regarding the Jacobs demand letter on November 22, 2017, I did not have any knowledge of who Richard Jacobs was, nor had I ever heard of a 37-page demand letter being written by Clayton Halunen, counsel for Mr. Jacobs.
 - 3. On subsequent investigation, I learned that on April 26, 2017, at 9:33 p.m., I was copied on an email from Eric Tate to Stacey Sprenkel, one of my partners in San Francisco, with the subject matter "Re: Criminal and Unethical Activities in Security," which generally addressed Uber e-discovery practices. On April 27, 2017, at 5:55 a.m., I received a follow-up email from Stacey Sprenkel regarding the same subject matter. I read the two emails with the e-discovery questions and responded at 6:18 a.m. with my input on that issue. My partner Sylvia Rivera also exchanged emails with Stacey Sprenkel, on which I was copied, at 7:00 a.m., 7:02 a.m., and 10:05 a.m., all of which pertained to Uber's e-discovery practices. I never scrolled down past the emails with the e-discovery questions and did not know that Mr. Jacobs's resignation email was in the chain until one of the associates preparing Morrison & Foerster's privilege log asked me a question about the email chain on December 8, 2017.
 - 4. Since joining the Uber trial team for this matter shortly after it was filed, I have billed time almost every single day (including holidays and weekends) to this matter and have received dozens of emails each day on this matter alone. In addition, I also was handling discovery for two other busy large matters, as well as other smaller matters. My work schedule during that time period was extremely heavy. On subsequent investigation, I learned that on the days that I received the email chains containing the Jacobs resignation email, i.e., April 26 and 27, 2017, I was handling significant aspects of the preliminary injunction sur-reply, continued

1	forensic searching, document review and production issues, and the joint case management
2	statement for this matter. In addition, on April 26, I prepared a witness for deposition in one of
3	my two other large matters and negotiated an ESI order in the other. On April 27, I was handling
4	discovery for these other matters.
5	5. I did not see the Jacobs resignation email or 37-page Jacobs demand letter until
6	after this Court issued its November 22, 2017 Notice. Similarly, I did not know about any
7	mediation involving Mr. Jacobs until after this Court issued its Notice. I never intended to—and
8	could not have had the intention to—hide Mr. Jacobs's resignation email, the Jacobs demand
9	letter, or the Jacobs mediation from this Court or from Waymo.
10	
11	I declare until penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this
12	19th day of January, 2018 in Los Angeles, California.
13	
14	<u>/s/Wendy J. Ray</u> Wendy J. Ray
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

ATTESTATION OF E-FILED SIGNATURE I, Arturo J. González, am the ECF User whose ID and password are being used to file this Declaration. In compliance with Civil L.R. 5-1(i)(3), I hereby attest that Wendy J. Ray has concurred in this filing. Dated: January 19, 2018 /s/ Arturo J. González Arturo J. González